CENTER ROUTING SLIP FApproved For Release 2004/02/12 : CIA-RDP78B05703A000700060008-5 то INITIALS DATE REMARKS DIRECTOR DEP/DIRECTOR Johni EXEC/DIRECTOR 2 M 1/6 SPECIAL ASST ASST TO DIR ASST TO DEP/DIR CH/PPBS DEP CH/PPBS EO/PPBS CH/IEG DEP CH/IEG EO/IEG CH/PSG DEP CH/PSG EO/PSG CH/TSSG DEP CH/TSSG EO/TSSG CH/SSD/TSSG **PERSONNEL** LOGISTICS TRAINING RECORDS MGT SECURITY **Declass Review by** NIMA/DOD FINANCE DIR/IAS/DDI CH/DIAXX-4

CH/DIAAP-9

Approved For Release 2004/02/12 : CIA-RDP78B05703A000700060008-5 SECRET

SPEED LETTER REPLY REQUESTED ATE 6 November 1969	(When Filled In)	
Executive Director, NFIC FROM: Chief, FFES, NFIC SUBJECT: Real-Time Flamning 1. When I spoke to you on Tuesday about the Real-Time situation, I had two (2) objectives in mind: (1) To establish with you the acceptability of including in our assumptions for this year's Five-Year Flan, one which in essence stated that the Center would be involved in the exploitation of the take from this system and, (2) To establish a teem which would, even under the limitations of information, policy, and time, attempt to indicate the impact throughout the Center of such an assumption. 2. As a result of our discussion last night, I understand that you wish to limit that "impact analysis" to the R&D area. If this be the case, then I do not believe the team concept I have proposed is needed, and certainly the charge to the team reflected in the draft momorandum I gave you is out of line. 3. In our Five-Year Plan of last year, we reflected a dollar impact on the Center's R&D effort, resulting from Real-Time, as follows: REPLY For this year's plan we can extend the figures another year (FY-76), and use the same gross rationale we used last year and in our FY-71 BOB hearing. This would, I believe, satisfy the immediate Problem of the Five-Year Plan. 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.		. 1
SUBJECT: Real-Time Flanning 1. When I spoke to you on Tuesday about the Real-Time situation, I had two (2) objectives in mind: (1) To establish with you the acceptability of including in our assumptions for this year's Five-Year Plan, one which in essence stated that the Center would be involved in the exploitation of the take from this system and, (2) To establish a team which would, even under the limitations of information, policy, and time, attempt to indicate the impact throughout the Center of such an assumption. 2. As a result of our discussion last night, I understand that you wish to limit that "impact snallysis" to the R&D area. If this be the case, then I do not believe the team concept I have proposed is needed, and certainly the charge to the team reflected in the draft momorandum I gave you is out of line. 3. In our Five-Year Plan of last year, we reflected a dollar impact on the Center's R&D effort, resulting from Real-Time, as follows: REPLY REPLY Alternative 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.		
SUBJECT: Real-Time Flanning 1. When I spoke to you on Tuesday about the Real-Time situation, I had two (2) objectives in mind: (1) To establish with you the acceptability of including in our assumptions for this year's Five-Year Plan, one which in essence stated that the Center would be involved in the exploitation of the take from this system and, (2) To establish a team which would, even under the limitations of information, policy, and time, attempt to indicate the impact throughout the Center of such an assumption. 2. As a result of our discussion last night, I understand that you wish to limit that "impact snallysis" to the R&D area. If this be the case, then I do not believe the team concept I have proposed is needed, and certainly the charge to the team reflected in the draft momorandum I gave you is out of line. 3. In our Five-Year Plan of last year, we reflected a dollar impact on the Center's R&D effort, resulting from Real-Time, as follows: REPLY REPLY Alternative 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.	: Executive Director, NPIC FROM: Chief,	PPBS. NPTC
1. When I spoke to you on Tuesday about the Real-Time situation, I had two (2) objectives in mind: (1) To establish with you the acceptability of including in our assumptions for this year's Five-Year Plan, one which in essence stated that the Center would be involved in the exploitation of the take from this system and, (2) To establish a team which would, even under the limitations of information, policy, and time, attempt to indicate the impact throughout the Center of such an assumption. 2. As a result of our discussion last night, I understand that you wish to limit that "impact analysis" to the R&D area. If this be the case, then I do not believe the team concept I have proposed is needed, and certainly the charge to the team reflected in the draft memorandum I gave you is out of line. 3. In our Five-Year Plan of last year, we reflected a dollar impact on the Center's R&D effort, resulting from Real-Time, as follows: REPLY For this year's plan we can extend the figures another year (FY-76), and use the same gross rationale we used last year and in our FY-71 BOB hearing. This would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the Five-Year Plan. 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.		1120
1. When I spoke to you on Tuesday about the Real-Time situation, I had two (2) objectives in mind: (1) To establish with you the acceptability of including in our assumptions for this year's Five-Year Flan, one which in essence stated that the Center would be involved in the exploitation of the take from this system and, (2) To establish a teem which would, even under the limitations of information, policy, and time, attempt to indicate the impact throughout the Center of such an assumption. 2. As a result of our discussion last night, I understand that you wish to limit that "impact analysis" to the R&D area. If this be the case, then I do not believe the teem concept I have proposed is needed, and certainly the charge to the teem reflected in the draft momorandum I gave you is out of line. 3. In our Five-Year Flan of last year, we reflected a dollar impact on the Center's R&D effort, resulting from Real-Time, as follows: REPLY For this year's plan we can extend the figures another year (FY-76), and use the same gross rationale we used last year and in our FY-71 BOB hearing. This would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the Five-Year Flan. 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Flan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.	IN:	
two (2) objectives in mind: (1) To establish with you the acceptability of including in our assumptions for this year's Five-Year Flan, one which in essence stated that the Center would be involved in the exploitation of the take from this system and, (2) To establish a team which would, even under the limitations of information, policy, and time, attempt to indicate the impact throughout the Center of such an assumption. 2. As a result of our discussion last night, I understand that you wish to limit that "impact analysis" to the R&D area. If this be the case, then I do not believe the team concept I have proposed is needed, and certainly the charge to the team reflected in the draft memorandum I gave you is out of line. 3. In our Five-Year Flan of last year, we reflected a dollar impact on the Center's R&D effort, resulting from Real-Time, as follows: REPLY REPLY ATE 2 A. Separate from the immediate Problem of the Five-Year Flan. 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Flan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.	SUBJECT: Real-Time Planning	
two (2) objectives in mind: (1) To establish with you the acceptability of including in our assumptions for this year's Five-Year Flan, one which in essence stated that the Center would be involved in the exploitation of the take from this system and, (2) To establish a team which would, even under the limitations of information, policy, and time, attempt to indicate the impact throughout the Center of such an assumption. 2. As a result of our discussion last night, I understand that you wish to limit that "impact analysis" to the R&D area. If this be the case, then I do not believe the team concept I have proposed is needed, and certainly the charge to the team reflected in the draft memorandum I gave you is out of line. 3. In our Five-Year Flan of last year, we reflected a dollar impact on the Center's R&D effort, resulting from Real-Time, as follows: REPLY REPLY ATE 2 A. Separate from the immediate Problem of the Five-Year Flan. 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Flan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.		
to limit that "impact analysis" to the R&D area. If this be the case, then I do not believe the team concept I have proposed is needed, and certainly the charge to the team reflected in the draft memorandum I gave you is out of line. 3. In our Five-Year Flan of last year, we reflected a dollar impact on the Center's R&D effort, resulting from Real-Time, as follows: REPLY PATE REPLY PATE 2 For this year's plan we can extend the figures another year (FY-76), and use the same gross rationale we used last year and in our FY-71 BOB hearing. This would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the Five-Year Flan. 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.	two (2) objectives in mind: (1) To establish with you including in our assumptions for this year's Five-Year essence stated that the Center would be involved in the from this system and, (2) To establish a team which we limitations of information, policy, and time, attempt	ou the acceptability of Plan, one which in e exploitation of the take ould, even under the
For this year's plan we can extend the figures another year (FY-76), and use the same gross rationale we used last year and in our FY-71 BOB hearing. This would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the Five-Year Plan. 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.	2. As a result of our discussion last night, I to limit that "impact analysis" to the R&D area. If the do not believe the team concept I have proposed is need to be a supposed in the concept I have proposed in the con	his be the case, then I ded, and certainly the
For this year's plan we can extend the figures another year (FY-76), and use the same gross rationale we used last year and in our FY-71 BOB hearing. This would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the Five-Year Plan. 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.		
For this year's plan we can extend the figures another year (FY-76), and use the same gross rationale we used last year and in our FY-71 BOB hearing. This would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the Five-Year Plan. 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.		
For this year's plan we can extend the figures another year (FY-76), and use the same gross rationale we used last year and in our FY-71 BOB hearing. This would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the Five-Year Plan. 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.		······································
For this year's plan we can extend the figures another year (FY-76), and use the same gross rationale we used last year and in our FY-71 BOB hearing. This would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the Five-Year Plan. 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.	REPLY	
the same gross rationale we used last year and in our FY-71 BOB hearing. This would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the Five-Year Plan. 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan problem (which can be approached as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system.		2
as a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (and the DD/I) should become actively involved in planning for the impact/changes in areas other than R&D which will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collection, processing, and exploitation functions under a Real-Time system. 2	For this year's plan we can extend the figures as	
SIGNATURE	the same gross rationale we used last year and in our would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the	Five-Year Plan.
SIGNATURE	the same gross rationale we used last year and in our would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan pras a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (actively involved in planning for the impact/changes will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collections.	Five-Year Plan. coblem (which can be approached and the DD/I) should become n areas other than R&D which
	the same gross rationale we used last year and in our would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan pras a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (actively involved in planning for the impact/changes will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collections.	Five-Year Plan. coblem (which can be approached and the DD/I) should become n areas other than R&D which action, processing, and ex-
	the same gross rationale we used last year and in our would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan pras a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (actively involved in planning for the impact/changes will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collections.	Five-Year Plan. coblem (which can be approached and the DD/I) should become n areas other than R&D which action, processing, and ex-
	the same gross rationale we used last year and in our would, I believe, satisfy the immediate problem of the 4. Separate from the immediate Five-Year Plan pras a bureaucratic one) is my concern that the Center (actively involved in planning for the impact/changes will be affected by the requirements, targeting, collections.	e Five-Year Plan. coblem (which can be approached and the DD/I) should become n areas other than R&D which action, processing, and ex-

25X1

Approved For Release 2014/92/12 CIA-RDP78B05703A000700060008-5

PURPOSE AND CONTENTS OF CONCEPT INVESTIGATION

PURPOSE

- 1. Conducting systems studies of \mathbb{E}/\mathbb{A} process
- 2. Identifying anomalies affecting the process
- 3. Identifying needs for equipments, techniques and facilities
- 4. Designing and constructing new equipments and modifying existing equipments, as appropriate
- 5. Increasing technological base to allow prudent final E/A subsystem design

Approved For Release 2004/04/2 RDP78B05703A000700060008-5

PRESENT NPIC R&D EFFORTS DIRECTLY APPLICABLE TO NRT IMAGERY EXPLOITATION

$\sqrt{}$	Dry Process Film (Rapid Processing)
.√	Cloud Screening (for Rapid Reprogramming & Indexing)
, V	Chip Film Technology (for Rapid Interpretation, Dissemination, & Control)
/	Automated Reporting Techniques & Equipment
$\sqrt{}$	Digital Image Processing Techniques (for Selected Image Enhancement & Manipulation)
\checkmark	Display Systems Technology
√	An Integrated Information System (to Provide Rapid Access to, and Update of, the Central Data Base)

25X1